

**EXHIBIT "C"**

1 MICHAEL T. McCALL, ESQ. State Bar #109580  
2 ROBERT M. CHANNEL, ESQ. State Bar #109273  
3 WALSWORTH, FRANKLIN, BEVINS & McCALL  
4 550 Montgomery Street, Eighth Floor  
5 San Francisco, CA 94111-2534  
6 (415) 781-7072

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
8 QUINTEC INDUSTRIES, INC.

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

11 IN RE: ) CASE NO. 828684  
12 )  
13 COMPLEX ASBESTOS LITIGATION ) RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'  
14 ) STANDARD INTERROGATORIES TO  
15 ) ALL DEFENDANTS

16 PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiffs

17 RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant QUINTEC INDUSTRIES, INC.

18 SET NUMBER: General Order No. 129 Interrogatories to  
19 Defendants

20 DEFINITIONS

21 1. "ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCT(S)" shall mean a product(s)  
22 which THIS DEFENDANT knows or believes to have contained any amount  
23 of the mineral asbestos at any time.

24 2. "COMPANY" means any private enterprise including  
25 corporation, partnerships, joint ventures, and sole  
26 proprietorships.

27 3. A "CONTRACT UNIT" shall mean a branch, division,  
28 subsidiary or other affiliated entity of a DEFENDANT which has been  
or is now engaged in installation, disturbing or handling and/or

1 removal of RAW ASBESTOS and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS.

2 4. "DOCUMENT(S)" or "WRITING(S)" shall include all writings  
3 as defined by Section 250 of the California Evidence Code.

4 5. "GEOGRAPHIC AREA" means the 46 counties of Northern  
5 California (Alameda, Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa,  
6 Contra Costa, Del Norte, El Dorado, Fresno, Glenn, Humboldt, Kern,  
7 Kings, Lake, Lassen, Marin, Mariposa, Mendocino, Merced, Modoc,  
8 Mono, Monterey, napa, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, San  
9 Francisco, San Joaquin, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Shasta,  
10 Sierra, Siskiyou, Solano, Sonoma, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama,  
11 Trinity, Tulare, Tuolumne, Yolo, Yuba) and military  
12 facilities/installations in the State of California, or the  
13 following shipyards: Bethlehem Shipbuilding, San Pedro; California  
14 Shipbuilding, Terminal Island; Consolidated Steel Shipyard,  
15 Wilmington; Los Angeles Shipbuilding and Dry Dock aka L.A. Ship,  
16 San Pedro; National Steel and Shipbuilding Corporation, San Diego;  
17 Todd Shipyards Corporation, San Pedro; Triple "A" Machine, San  
18 Diego; Western Pipe and Steel Company, Los Angeles and San Pedro  
19 Divisions; Naval Air Station, North Island; Thirty-second Street  
20 Naval Repair Facility, San Diego; Long Beach Naval Shipyard; and  
21 San Diego Destroyer Base.

22 6. A request to "IDENTIFY" a "WRITING" or "DOCUMENT" or  
23 study shall mean a request to either attach such an exhibit to YOUR  
24 answers to these Interrogatories, or to describe such with  
25 sufficient particularity that it may be made the subject of a  
26 request for production of documents. YOUR description should  
27 include an indication of: (a) the author; (b) addressee(s); (c)  
28 date of origin; (d) the nature of the writing or document (e.g.,

1 letter, telephone memorandum, audio tape recording, photograph,  
2 etc.); and (e) its present location, name and present address of  
3 custodian thereof.

4 7. A request to "IDENTIFY" an oral communication shall mean  
5 a request to describe the communication with particularity, and  
6 shall include the following information; (a) the identity of all  
7 parties to the communication; (b) the identity of the person whom  
8 you contend initiated the communication; (c) the identity of all  
9 persons present at the time of the communication; and (d) the time,  
10 date and place of the communication.

11 8. A request to "IDENTIFY" or to state the "IDENTITY" of a  
12 person or individual means to state his or her name, the place of  
13 employment, job title, present business or present or last known  
14 home address, years of employment and last known telephone number  
15 if not employed by DEFENDANT.

16 9. A request to "IDENTIFY" the product shall mean a request  
17 to describe the product, the material or compound by the following  
18 means: (1) by nickname or slang name used in YOUR industry and/or  
19 occupation; (2) by the name under which it is sold in the  
20 marketplace (trade name); (3) by its generic name; and (4) by  
21 manufacturer.

22 10. "MARKETING" or "MARKETED" shall mean the mining, supply,  
23 sale, labeling, distribution, importing, processing or manufacture  
24 of RAW ASBESTOS and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS(S).

25 11. A request to describe the "NATURE" of a product means to  
26 describe the (a) color; (b) texture; (c) form (i.e., powder,  
27 liquid, paste, solid, board, cloth, blanket, wire insulation,  
28 etc.); (d) physical dimensions, if solid (length, width and

height); (e) the type of shipping package and shipping package dimensions if not solid; (f) type of asbestos fiber used in the composition of the product (e.g., chrysotile, amosite, crocidolite); (g) the intended use or function of such product as recommended by this DEFENDANT as the miner, producer, supplier, contractor, manufacturer, distributor, owner or seller; and (h) the type of worksite in which it was intended to be used (e.g., shipyard, refinery, commercial building construction, manufacturing plant, home, power generating plant, etc.).

12. "PREMISES" includes, but is not limited to, buildings, structures in a refinery, boilers, generators, tract housing, commercial buildings and other such structures.

13. "RAW ASBESTOS" means asbestos fiber mined or milled, either packaged or in bulk, not compounded with other substances and essentially pure with the exception of naturally occurring trace amounts of other substances.

14. "THIS DEFENDANT" or "DEFENDANT" shall mean the named defendant herein, all of its divisions and subsidiaries in which it holds a controlling interest, and all "alternate entities" as defined and identified by name in any complaint pending against YOU as of the date of YOUR answers.

15. "YOU" and "YOUR" refer to the DEFENDANT who is named above as responding party.

#### INTERROGATORIES

##### INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

IDENTIFY the person verifying these answers on YOUR behalf.

##### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Mr. Lynn O. Poulson, 10880 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1800, Los



1 Angeles, California 90024, Secretary.

2 INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

3 State the date of first employment with YOU, and the dates and  
4 titles of each job position the person verifying these  
5 interrogatories has held while employed by YOU.

6 RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

7 Mr. Poulson has been Secretary of Quintec Industries, Inc.  
8 ("Quintec") since 1984. Mr. Poulson was also a director of the  
9 corporation for several years subsequent to 1984, but has not been  
10 a director of Quintec since 1989.

11 INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

12 State whether or not YOU are a corporation, and if so, state:

- 13 A. YOUR correct corporate name;  
14 B. YOUR state of incorporation;  
15 C. The date of YOUR incorporation;  
16 D. The address of YOUR principal place of business;  
17 E. Whether or not YOU have ever held a certificate of  
18 authority to do business in the State of California, and if so, the  
19 inclusive dates of any certificate;

20 F. If YOU are wholly owned or the majority interest of YOUR  
21 company is owned by another business entity, state the entity's  
22 name and principal place of business;

23 G. Whether YOU have any business offices in California,  
24 and, if so, YOUR principal place of business in California.

25 RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

26 Yes.

27 A. Quintec Industries, Inc.

28 B - C Quintec Industries, Inc. is a California corporation

1 incorporated on May 28, 1964. From October 24, 1985 through April  
2 21, 1991, Quintec was a Delaware corporation.

3 D. 25301 Trabuco Road, #2, Lake Forrest, California 92630.

4 E. Yes. From 1964 to the present.

5 F. All shares of Quintec are held by Insulectro, a  
6 California corporation, whose principal office is located at 20362  
7 Windrow Drive, Lake Forest, California.

8 G. Yes. 25301 Trabuco Road, #2, Lake Forrest, California  
9 92630.

10 INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

11 Have YOU ever been identified, known, or done business under  
12 any other name in the State of California?

13 RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

14 The California corporation Western Fibrous Glass Products  
15 Company was merged into Quintec Industries in 1973. Western  
16 Fibrous Glass Products Company also did business as Wesglas and  
17 from 1948 through 1952 was known as Western Fiberglas Supply  
18 Company.

19 INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

20 If YOUR answer to Interrogatory No. 4 is in the affirmative,  
21 please state such name or names and the time period during which  
22 THIS DEFENDANT was known or identified.

23 RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

24 The California corporation Western Fibrous Glass Products  
25 Company was merged into Quintec Industries in 1973. Western  
26 Fibrous Glass Products Company also did business as Wesglas and  
27 from 1948 through 1952 was known as Western Fiberglas Supply  
28 Company.

1 CONTAINING PRODUCTS into, out of or through any port in the  
2 GEOGRAPHIC AREA? If so, for each occasion:

3 A. IDENTIFY and describe the NATURE and amount of RAW  
4 ASBESTOS and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS;

5 B. IDENTIFY the ship or ships (including the owners and  
6 operators thereof) onto or from which the RAW ASBESTOS and/or  
7 ASBESTOS-CONTAINING PRODUCTS were loaded, unloaded or transshipped;

8 C. State the dates, port and pier involved for each  
9 occasion;

10 D. Either (1) attach all DOCUMENTS evidencing the  
11 information sought in this Interrogatory and its subparts to your  
12 answers to these Interrogatories, or (2) attach disks containing  
13 such data, or (3) describe such DOCUMENTS with sufficient  
14 particularity that they may be made the subject of a request for  
15 production of documents;

16 RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 53:

17 Not to Quintec's current knowledge.

18 Dated: Oct 10, 1997

WALSWORTH, FRANKLIN, BEVINS & MCCALL

19  
20 By 

ROBERT M. CHANNEL, ESQ.  
Attorneys for Defendant

21  
22 /appl/wp/quintecsf1524/gen9000/disc/G0123.resp  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28




VERIFICATION

I, LYNN O. POULSON, declare:

I am the Secretary of Quintec Industries, Inc., one of the defendants in this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf. The foregoing responses entitled **RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' STANDARD INTERROGATORIES TO ALL DEFENDANTS** (In Re Complex Asbestos Litigation) are true of my knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein stated on my information and belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 2<sup>d</sup> day of June, 1997, at Los Angeles, California.



LYNN O. POULSON

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I declare as follows:

I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the county of San Francisco, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 550 Montgomery Street, Eighth Floor, San Francisco, California 94111.

I am readily familiar with my employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service.

On October 10, 1997, I served a copy of **RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' STANDARD INTERROGATORIES TO ALL DEFENDANTS** on the party or parties named below, in Case No. 828684, by following ordinary business practice, placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service where it would be deposited for first class delivery, postage fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business, addressed as follows:

**SEE ATTACHED LIST**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on October 10, 1997, at San Francisco, California.

  
MIRIAM STEELE

1                    IN RE: COMPLEX ASBESTOS LITIGATION  
2                    San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. 828684

3                    Brayton Harley Curtis  
4                    222 Rush Landing Road  
5                    P.O. Box 2109  
6                    Novato, CA 94948

7                    Law Offices of Jack K. Clapper  
8                    62 Princess Street  
9                    Sausalito, CA 94965

10                   The Law Offices Of  
11                   Bruce L. Ahnfeldt, Esq.  
12                   P.O. Box 6078  
13                   Napa, CA 94581

14                   Wartnick, Chaber, Harowitz,  
15                   Smith & Tigerman  
16                   101 California Street, 22nd Floor  
17                   San Francisco, CA 94111  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**EXHIBIT "D"**

006

A. SCOTT GOLDBERG (SBN 119797)  
 SELMAN • BREITMAN  
 11766 Wilshire Boulevard, Sixth Floor  
 Los Angeles, California 90025-6538  
 Telephone: (310) 445-0800  
 Facsimile: (310) 473-2525

Attorneys for Defendant  
 SEPCO CORPORATION

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

IN RE:

COMPLEX ASBESTOS LITIGATION

CASE NO. 828684

DEFENDANT SEPCO CORPORATION'S  
 RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' STANDARD  
 INTERROGATORIES TO ALL  
 DEFENDANTS

[General Order No. 129]

Defendant SEPCO CORPORATION ("SEPCO") provides the following responses to  
 Plaintiffs' standard interrogatories to all Defendants [General Order No. 129].

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The responses set forth herein are given without prejudice to SEPCO's right to  
 produce evidence, at trial or otherwise, of any subsequently discovered fact, writing, and/or  
 interpretation thereof, or to modify or amend Responses according to subsequently  
 discovered information.

Furthermore, it is SEPCO's opinion that several of General Order No. 129's Standard  
 Interrogatories to All Defendants are objectionable as overly broad, unduly burdensome, not



likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, vague and ambiguous. SEPCO notes that court adopted interrogatories are not beyond objection. See, *Nacht & Lewis Architects, Inc. v. Superior Court* (1996) 47 Cal.App.4th 214. However, pursuant to General Order No. 129 Section 4.A's bar on insertions of objections other than to privilege, SEPCO has not asserted such objections which it would do otherwise. Furthermore, by not asserting said objections, SEPCO does not concede that General Order No. 129 Section 4.A's bar on objections represents a valid and enforceable exercise of the San Francisco Superior Court's rule-making powers.

SEPCO limits these responses to fluid sealing products which are the only asbestos-containing products which are the subject of direct litigation involving SEPCO in the GEOGRAPHIC AREA. Additionally, SEPCO limits its responses to the time period its products were sold and/or present in the GEOGRAPHIC AREA.

SEPCO finds it difficult, if not, impossible to reconstruct or retrieve some of the information requested, particularly since no one is currently employed by SEPCO with first-hand information relevant to these responses. Therefore, the answers supplied herein are based on the present facts known or believed to be known by SEPCO at the time of filing these responses.

#### INTERROGATORIES

##### INTERROGATORY NO. 1.

IDENTIFY the person verifying these answers on YOUR behalf.

##### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Vernon Gibson, Jr.

##### INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

State the date of first employment with YOU, and the dates and titles of each job position the person verifying these interrogatories has held while employed by YOU.

##### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

1960 to 1984; General Manager, President, Chairman of the Board.

1 INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

2 State whether or not YOU are a corporation, and if so, state:

3 A. YOUR correct corporate name;

4 B. YOUR state of incorporation;

5 C. The date of YOUR incorporation;

6 D. The address of YOUR principal place of business;

7 E. Whether or not YOU have ever held a certificate of authority to do business in  
8 the State of California, and if so, the inclusive dates of any certificate;

9 F. If YOU are wholly owned or the majority interest of YOUR company is owned  
10 by another business entity, state the entity's name and principal place of business;

11 G. Whether YOU have any business offices in California, and, if so, YOUR  
12 principal place of business in California.

13 RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

14 Yes.

15 A. SEPCO CORPORATION;

16 B. California;

17 C. 1982;

18 D. 150 Dey Street, Wayne, New Jersey 07470;

19 E. Yes;

20 F. N/A;

21 G. No.

22 INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

23 Have YOU ever been identified, known, or done business under any other name in  
24 the State of California?

25 RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

26 Defendant has done business under the name Southeastern Products Corporation,  
27 although not in the State of California.

28

1 B. IDENTIFY the ship or ships (including the owners and operators  
2 thereof) onto or from which the RAW ASBESTOS and/or ASBESTOS-CONTAINING  
3 PRODUCTS were loaded, unloaded or transshipped;

4 C. State the dates, port and pier involved for each occasion;

5 D. Either (1) attach all DOCUMENTS evidencing the information sought  
6 in this Interrogatory and its subparts to YOUR answers to these Interrogatories, or (2) attach  
7 disks containing such data, or (3) describe such DOCUMENTS with sufficient particularity  
8 that they may be made the subject of a request for production of documents.

9 RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 53:

10 Not to our knowledge.

11  
12 DATED: July 7, 2000

13 SELMAN • BREITMAN

14  
15 By: 

16 A. SCOTT GOLDBERG  
17 Attorneys for Defendant  
18 SEPCO CORPORATION  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**VERIFICATION**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I have read the foregoing DEFENDANT SEPSCO CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' STANDARD INTERROGATORIES TO ALL DEFENDANTS [General Order No. 129] and know its contents.

☐ I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and to those matters I believe them to be true.

☒ I am ☐ an officer ☐ a partner ☒ the former president of Sepco, a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.

☐ I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

☐ The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

☐ I am one of the attorneys for \_\_\_\_\_, a party to this action. Such party is absent from the county of aforesaid where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

Executed on July 10, 2000, at Birmingham, Alabama.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

VERNON GIBSON  
Type or Print Name

  
Signature